A Digital Signature Method Based on Braid Groups Conjugacy and Verify Method thereof

Technical Field

The present relates to a digital signature scheme based on a gap between the conjugacy search problem (CSP) and the conjugacy determination problem (CDP) in the braid group and a verifying method thereof (ECSS),p articularly to a method for verifying whether a file is signed by a signatory with his private key by using his public key.

Background of the Invention

Currently,t he digital signature technique commonly used is RSA signature mechanism, and its security is established on the difficulty of large number factoring. However, with constant improvement of computer process power and sustained development of related researches, RSA has to continuously increase digits of modulus N to ensure the security, from 512 bits to 1024 bits, further to 2048 bits. Because of the excess length of key bits, the operation for generating big prime number and exponential computation becomes more complex, therefore, the efficiency of RSA is not very high. If the hardware is employed to improve the efficiency, the excess length of bits will result in more complexity and higher cost, and due to the unchangeability of hardware, the use life of hardware becomes shorter, which further increases the cost as a result.

Since Ki Hyoung KO, Sang Jin Lee of Korea proposed a key exchange protocol and public encryption system based on the difficulty of braid groups conjugacy problem (K.H.Ko, S.J.Lee, J.H.Cheon, J.W.Han, J.S.Kang and C.S.Park, New Public-Key Crytosystem Using Braid Groups, Proc. of Crypto 2000, LNCS 1880, Springer-Verlag (2000) 166 - 183.), the braid public key cryptography system is widely researched. However, there has been no good solution for its digital signature scheme. Up to 2003, Ki Hyoung KO, Doo Ho Cho, the scholars of Korea, proposed and realized two signature schemes based on braid conjugacy

1

problem (Ki Hyoung Ko and Doo Ho Choi and Mi Sung Cho and Jang Won Lee New Signature Scheme Using Conjugacy Problem Cryptology ePrint Archive: Complete Contents 2003/168): simple conjugacy signature scheme (SCSS) and conjugacy signature scheme (CSS). We will explain the two signature schemes of SCSS and CSS.

Simple conjugacy signature scheme SCSS:

Common parameter: braid group B_n , hash function $h:\{0,1\}^* \to B_n$

Key generation: public key: a conjugacy pair $(x, x') \in B_n \times B_n$ for considering CSP problem as a difficult problem,

private key:
$$a \in B_n$$
, meeting $x' = a^{-1}xa$;

Signature: for a given bit sequence message M, the signature of M $sign(M) = a^{-1}ya$, in which, element y=h(M);

Verify: the signature of message M sign(M) is legal when and only when: $sign(M) \sim y$ and $x \nmid sign(M) \sim xy$.

However, since a hacker may get many pairs of $(y_i, a^{-1}y_ia)$, it may result in blowing the gab of private key a, i.e.,k-CSP problem. In order to overcome the above problem, they proposed a CSS signature scheme.

Conjugacy signature scheme CSS:

Common parameter: braid group B_n , hash function $h:\{0,1\}^* \to B_n$

Key generation: public key: a conjugacy pair $(x,x) \in B_n \times B_n$ for considering CSP problem as a difficult problem,

private key: $a \in B_n$, m eeting $x' = a^{-1}xa$;

Signature: for a given message M, selecting a random braid $b \in B_n$ at random, calculating

$$\alpha = b^{-1}xby = h(M|\alpha), \beta = b^{-1}yb, \gamma = b^{-1}aya^{-1}b$$
, the signature of message M $sign(M) = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Verify: the signature of message M $sign(M) = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is legal when and only when meeting

 $\alpha \sim x$, $\beta \sim y$, $\alpha \beta \sim xy$, $\alpha \gamma \sim x'y$.

Due to the introduction of random braid b, CCS signature scheme overcomes the k-CSP problem well. But due to the increase of calculation and data, the overall efficiency is decreased distinctly.

Summary of the Invention

In order to overcome the problem of excess consumption of computer calculation resource in generating big prime number and dividing hack of big number, and the problem of taking excess time to generate key and verify signature due to the increased calculation and data used for CSS to resolve the k-CSP problem in the SCSS, the object of the present is to provide a digital signature scheme based on braid groups conjugacy problem and a verifying method thereof, for reducing the calculation and data, and improving the efficiency of the whole signature scheme.

In order to realize the above objects, the present invention provides a digital signature scheme based on braid group conjugacy problem, in which parameters involved include a signatory S, a signature verifying party V, a message M needing signature; system parameters needed include n for the number of generators in the braid group, m for the number of generators in the left subgroup, l for the upper bound of the length of a braid, braid group $B_n(l)$, left subgroup $LB_m(l)$ of $B_n(l)$, right subgroup $RB_{n-l-m}(l)$ of $B_n(l)$, one way hash function l mapping from bit sequence $\{0,1\}$ * to braid group $B_n(l)$; the signature scheme comprises the following steps of:

Step 1. the signatory S selecting three braids $x \in LB_m(l)$, $x' \in B_n(l)$, $a \in B_n(l)$, and making them meet $x'=a^{-l}xa$, m oreover, with known x and x, it being impossible to find a in calculation, and considering braid pair(x',x) as a public key of S, braid a as a private key of S;

Step 2. the signatory S using hash function h for message M needing signature to get $y=h(M) \in B_n(l)$;

Step 3. generating a braid $b \in RB_{n-1-m}(l)$ at random, then signing the message M with own private key a and the generated random braid b to obtain $Sign(M) = a^{-l}byb^{-l}a$; and Step 4. the signatory S outputting message M and the signature of M Sign(M).

in which, generating public key braid pair (x',x) and private key braid a of the signatory S in said step 1 comprises the following steps of:

Step 1a. selecting the distance d between public key pairs of system parameter braid group;

Step 1b.r epresenting x as left canonial form $x = \Delta^u \pi_1 \pi_2 ... \pi_i$;

Step 1c. selecting a braid b at random to belong to set B_n (5 l)

Step 1d.ca lculating $x = b^{-1}xb$, a=b;

Step 1e. generating a bit at random, if 1,ca lculating x = decycling(x), $a = a\pi_l$; otherwise, calculating x = cycling(x), $a = a\tau^u(\pi_l)$; and

Step 1f. judging whether x' belongs to SSS(x) and whether $l(x') \le d$, if all conditions are yes, o utputting (x, x') as public key, a as private key; if either of them is not, performing step 1e.

The process of using hash function h for obtaining $y=h(M) \in B_n(l)$ in said step 2 comprises the following steps of:

Step 2a. selecting an ordinary hash function H, with the length of its output H(M) being l [log(2,n!)], then dividing H(M) into l sections $R_l||R_2||...||R_l$ in equal at one time; and Step 2b.c orresponding Ri to permutation braid Ai, then calculating h(M) = A1 * A2...Al, the h(M) required.

The present invention further provides a verifying method of the digital signature scheme based on braid group conjugacy problem, which comprises the following steps of:

Step 1. a signature verifying party V obtaining a public key of signatory S after receiving a message M and the signature of M Sign(M) transmitted from a signatory S;

Step 2. calculating the message M by employing a system parameter hash function h, to

obtain y=h(M);

Step 3. judging whether sign(M) and y are conjugate or not, if not, sign(M) being not a legal signature, and the verification being failed; if yes, performing step 4; and

Step 4. calculating sign(M) x' and xy by using the public key of S obtained, and judging whether they are conjugate or not, if not, then sign(M) being not a legal signature, the verification being failed; if yes, sign(M) being the legal signature of message M.

In this method, the form for obtaining public key of the signatory S in step 1 is an out-band form or the form of receiving public key transmitted from the signatory S; Algorithm BCDA is employed in judging whether sign(M) and y are conjugate or not in step 3 and whether sign(M) x' and xy are conjugate or not in step 4.

Moreover, the present invention further provides a digital signature scheme including signatory and verifying party signatures based on braid group conjugacy problem and a verifying method thereof (ECSS), in which parameters involved include a signatory S, a signature verifying party V, a message M needing signature, an integer n for the number of generators in the braid group, an integer m for the number of generators in the left subgroup, an integer l for the upper bound of the length of a braid, braid group $B_n(l)$, a left subgroup $B_n(l)$ of $B_n(l)$, a right subgroup $B_{n-l-m}(l)$ of $B_n(l)$, an one way hash function h mapping from bit sequence $\{0,1\}^*$ to braid groups $B_n(l)$; said signature and its verifying method comprises the following steps of:

Step 1. the signatory S selecting three braids $x \in LB_m(l)$, $x' \in B_n(l)$, $a \in B_n(l)$, and making them meet $x'=a^{-l}xa$, m oreover, with known x and x, it being impossible to find a in calculation, and considering braid pair(x',x) as a public key of S, braid a as a private key of S;

Step 2. signatory S using hash function h for the message M needing signature to get $y=h(M) \in B_n(I)$;

Step 3. generating a braid $b \in RB_{n-1-m}(l)$ at random, then signing the message M with own private key a and the generated random braid b to obtain $Sign(M) = a^{-l}byb^{-l}a$;

Step 4. the signatory S transmitting message M and the signature of M Sign(M) to the signature verifying party V;

- Step 5. the signature verifying party V obtaining the public key of S after receiving the message M and signature of M Sign(M) transmitted from signatory S;
- Step 6. calculating message M by employing system parameter hash function h, to obtain y=h(M);
 - Step 7. judging whether sign(M) and y are conjugate or not, if not, sign(M) being not a legal signature, the verification being failed; if yes, p erforming step 8; and
- Step 8. calculating sign(M) x' and xy by using the public key of obtained S, and judging whether they are conjugate or not, if not, sign(M) being not a legal signature, the verification being failed; if yes, sign(M) being the legal signature of message M.

As recited in the above solution,t he digital signature and the verifying method provided by the present invention have the following advantages:

Because of adding random braid b, for each message M, the conjugacy element of conjugacy pair (sign(M), h(M)) is $b^{-l}a$; because b is a random braid, and b selected for each signature is different, the conjugacy element for each time is also different, a verting the information leakage of private a, and avoiding the k-CSP problem for only using private a as the conjugacy element of (sign(M), h(M)) in SCSS signature scheme of the prior art. The signature scheme ECSS provided by the present invention makes use of the exchangeability of the left subgroup and the right subgroup of the braid groups, and adds a random braid directly, for protecting the secret information of key and improving the security of signature algorithm. CSS protects the secret information of key by introducing two assistant braids. The biggest advantage of ECSS compared to CSS is in that it reduces the number of braids involved and the number for conjugacy decision without reducing the security, and, therefore, improves the operation efficiency of signature. The differences of these three signature schemes are listed in table 1:

Table 1

Signature scheme	Calculation number of signature	Verify number of signature	Data quantity of signature	Security
SCSS	conjugacy calculation: 1 time hash calculation: 1 time	conjugacy decision:2times hash calculation: 1time braid group operation:2 times	I braid	Having k-CSP problem,I ow security, based on MCSP problem
CSS	Conjugacy calculation: 4 times hash calculation: 1 time	conjugacy decision:4 times hash calculation:1 time braid group operation:4 times	3 braid s	Introducing random key factor,r esolving the k-CSP problem, based on MTSP problem
Scheme of the present invention (ECSS)	conjugacy calculating: 2 times hash calculating: 1 time	conjugacy decison:2 times hash calculation:1 time braid group operation:2 times	1 braid	Introducing random key factor,r esolving the k-CSP problem,b ased on MCSP problem

The present invention uses mathematics basis with a completely different scheme compared to conventional RSA signature, and does not need generating big prime number, therefore greatly saves the digits of key and digits of signature, economizes the calculation resource, and improves the efficiency of signature and verification. The CSS signature scheme provided in prior art gets data shown in table 2 on the processor of Pentium III 866MHz (in which, default setup parameter l=3, d=4, $2^{31} , <math>r=3$):

Table 2

n	Number of public key bit	Number of signature	Time for generating key	Time of signature	Time of verification	Strength of security
20	370	1653	17.82 ms	18.68ms	30.87 ms	2 ²²⁰

24	478	2138	21.70 ms	22.79ms	41.75 ms	2 ³⁵⁶
28	591	2648	24.42 ms	25.77ms	59.59 ms	2 ⁵³⁰

Since the time of signature and verification of the present scheme will be greatly reduced compared to CSS signature, it is more efficiency than RSA.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Fig.1 is the flowchart of a digital signature scheme based on braid group conjugacy problem of the present invention.

Fig.2 is the flowchart for generating key in a digital signature scheme based on braid group conjugacy problem of the present invention;

Fig.3 is the process flowchart of one-direction hash function h in a digital signature scheme of the present invention;

Fig.4 is the flowchart of verifying digital signature based on braid group conjugacy problem of the present invention;

Fig.5 is the process flowchart of determination algorithm BCDA of CDP problem of the present invention;

Fig.6 is the flowchart of digital signatures of signatory and verifying party and the verifying method based on braid group conjugacy problem of the present invention.

Detailed Description of Embodiments

Because the present invention involves a series of mathematic principles, its mathematic background will be explained first in the following:

Braid group $B_n(n)$ is the parameter of group) is an infinite group with finite representation, generated by *Artin* generators σ_1 , σ_2 ,....., σ_{n-1} , which meet the following equation:

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \quad (|i-j| > 1, 1 < i, \quad j < n) \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_i = \sigma_i \sigma_i \sigma_i (|i-j| > 1, 1 < i, j < n)$$
 (2)

The group generated by m generators σ_1, σ_2 σ_{m-1} of left is called left subgroup of B_n , labeled as L B_m ; and the subgroup generated by n-l-m generators $\sigma_{m+l}, \sigma_{m+2}$ σ_{n-l} of right is called right subgroup of B_n , labeled as RB_{n-l-m} . It is obviously known from (1) that selecting $(x,y) \in LB_m \times RB_{n-l-m}$ arbitrarily, there is always xy=yx. As for a braid b, if it only contains σ_1, σ_2 σ_{n-1} instead of $\sigma_1^{-1}, \sigma_2^{-1}$, σ_{n-1}^{-1} , b is called a positive element. As for positive element b, a, if there is a positive element or trivial element c that makes b=ac, then a is called subword of b. The braid $\Delta=(\sigma_1\sigma_2...\sigma_{n-1})$ ($\sigma_1\sigma_2...\sigma_{n-2}$)...($\sigma_1\sigma_2$)(σ_1) is called fundamental braid of B_n . Δ meets $\Delta b = \tau(b)\Delta$, where $\tau(\sigma_i) = \sigma_{n-l}$ and the subword of Δ is called permutation braid. The set of all permutation braids is corresponded one to one with Σ n of permutations on $\{0,1,L-n-1\}$. Therefore, the sub-word Δ can be represented by a permutation π : $\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$ $\to \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$. Any one of braid b has a unique left canonial representation form: $b = \Delta^u \pi_1 \pi_2 ... \pi_l$, in which, π_i is a permutation braid. Several lengths of b are defined as: $\inf(b) = u$, $\sup(b) = u + l$, $\lim(b) = l$.

In a braid group B_n , if for two braids $x,y \in B_n$, there is a braid $a \in B_n$ that makes $y=a^{-l}xa$, then braids x, y are conjugate, w hich is denoted as $x \sim y$, and braid a is called conjugator of conjugacy pair(x,y), obviously, "~"indicates an equivalent relationship. The basic conjugacy problems of braid group include conjugacy decision problem CDP and conjugacy search problem CSP. The called CDP means: for an arbitrarily given braid pair $(x,y) \in B_n \times B_n$, judging whether $x \sim y$ is right. A method is given in the existing signature scheme based on braid group conjugacy problem, w hich can solve the CDP problem with any high probability in multinomial time. The called CSP problem means: for a given conjugacy pair(x,y) $\in B_n \times B_n(x \sim y)$, finding a braid $a \in B_n$, w hich makes $y=a^{-l}xa$. For braid group, there is no efficient arithmetic which can solve the CSP problem in multinomial time currently, therefore, f or a conjugacy pair(x,y) $\in B_n \times B_n$ selected randomly, their CSP problem will be a difficult problem with high probability. While the security of the signature scheme proposed

in this description is established on the difficulty of MCSP problem (matching conjugacy search problem), which is proved to have a same difficulty with CSP problem. The called MCSP problem is described in the following:

```
known: a conjugacy pair (x,x) \in B_n \times B_n of B_n; a braid y \in B_n problem: finding a y' \in B_n meeting: y \sim y' - xy \sim x'y'
```

Next, the method described in the appended drawings of the present invention is illustrated in details:

The common parameter required: braid group B_n , I eft braid group LB_m , right braid group RB_{n-1-m} , hash function h, in which the generators of B_n are $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ σ_{n-1} , the left braid group LB_m is the subgroup of B_n generated by generator σ_1, σ_2 σ_{m-1} , and the right braid group RB_{n-1-m} is the subgroup of B_n generated by $\sigma_{m+1}, \sigma_{m+2}$ σ_{n-1} .

Its public key is a conjugacy pair $(x,x) \in LB_m \times B_n$ which considers CSP problem as a difficult problem, and its private key is $a \in B_n$ meeting $x = a^{-1}xa$;

The flowchart for signatory signing message M is shown in Fig.1. For a given message M, first calculate and obtain y=h(M) by using hash function h, select a secret random braid $b \in RB_{n-1-m}$ randomly by using arithmetic PBG, and calculate byb^{-1} and the signature of message $M \operatorname{sign}(M) = a^{-1}byb^{-1}a$, then, signatory S outputs M and its signature $\operatorname{Sign}(M)$.

However, for a hacker, if he wants to forge a signature of message M, what he can know is only the public key(x,x') and y=h(M), if he wants the forged signature sign(M) to meet $sign(M) \sim y$. $x'sign(M) \sim xy$, it equals to solve the MCSP problem obviously, therefore, it would not be successful. However, for message signature $pair(y_i, b^{-1}ay_ia^{-1}b)$ that can be analyzed by intercepting and capturing, because of the adding of the random braid b, they can avoid the k-CSP problem. The called k-CSP problem is described in the following:

```
known: k pairs of conjugacy pair(x_l, x_{l'}),.....,(x_k, x_{k'}) \in B_n \times B_n and x_i = a^{-l}x_i a (i = 1...k); problem: finding b \in B_n, which makes x_i = b^{-l}x_i b (i = 1, 2 .....k),
```

in which, in order to generate key safely, first define some concepts, for a braid $x \in B_n(l)$, its super summit set is defined as: $SSS(x) = \{y \in B_n(l) | y \sim x , inf(y) = Maxinf(x), sup(y) = x \}$

Minsup(x). The security strength of overall signature arithmetic is |SSS(x)|, a bout $\left(\frac{n}{4}\right)^{n(n-1)/2}$.

If $y \sim x$, then define the distance between x and y as $d(x,y) = min\{l(b)|y = b^{-1}ab\}$, then define $s(x,d) = \{y \in SSS(x) | d(x,y) \le d\}$. Select $x \in s(x,d)$, then the CSP problem of conjugacy pair(x,x) becomes a difficult problem, and can be public key. Specifically, the flowchart of key generation is shown in fig.2. The following is the detailed description of the process for generating key, wherein by using RSSBG(x,d) = (x,a), $x \in s(x,d)$ is generated randomly and $x = a^{-1}xa$, therefore, giving the safe public key(x,x) and private key a:

Step 11. determing the distance d between braid group public key pair;

Step 12.r epresenting braid x as left canonical form $x=\Delta^u \pi_1 \pi_2 ... \pi_l$;

Step 13.s electing a braid b randomly which belongs to the set B_n (5 l);

Step 14.ca lculating $x = b^{-1}xb$, a = b;

Step 15.g enetating a bit randomly, if 1, then calculating x = decycling(x), $a = a\pi_l$; otherwise, calculating x = cycling(x), $a = a\tau^{\mu}(\pi_l)$; and

Step 16.j udging whether x' belongs to the set SSS(x) and whether $l(x') \le d$ is yes, if all the results being yes, then outputting (x, x') as public key, a as private key; if either of them is no, then performing step 15.

Calculating and obtaining y=h(M) by using hash function h, with its flowchart shown in Fig.3:

For a hash function h mapping from bit sequence $\{0,1\}^*$ to braid group $B_n(l)$, first compress $\{0,1\}^*$ to obtain a bit sequence $\{0,1\}^N$ with fixed length by using an ordinary hash function, wherein $N = l[log_2^{nl}]$. Then divide $\{0,1\}^N$ into l sections $r_1||r_2|| \dots ||r_n|$, the length of each section is $[log_2^{nl}]$. Because the number of permutation braid of $B_n(l)$ is n!, a one to one map can be established between the permutation braid and the integer set [0,n!-1], and transform r_k into a certain integer in [0,n!-1], which in turn is further transformed into a

permutation braid P_k , at last, obtain $h(M) = \prod_{k=1}^{l} P_k$.

The verifying flowchart of the present invention for a digital signature scheme based on braid group conjugacy problem is shown in Fig.4, including the following steps of:

Step 20.t he signature verifying party V obtaining the public key S after receiving the message M and the signature of M Sign(M) transmitted by signatory S;

Step 21.calculating message M by using system parameter hash function h, o btaining y=h(M);

Step 22.j udging whether sign(M) and y are conjugate, if not, sign(M) is not a legal signature, the verification is failed; if yes,p erforming step 23; and

Step 23.ca lculating sign(M)x and xy by using the obtained public key of S, and judging whether they are conjugate, if not, then sign(M) is not a legal signature, verification is failed; if yes,t hen sign(M) is the legal signature of message M.

In the method, the form of obtaining public key of S in step 20 is an out-band form, or it is transmitted to verifying part V by signatory S directly.

The arithmetic of BCDA is employed in judging whether sign(M) and y are conjugate in step 22 and judging whether sign(M) x' and xy are conjugate in step 23..This arithmetic of BCDA is shown in Fig.5:

For any non-abelian group, they all have a function from group to ring, which is invariant under conjugacy, and is called character. Defining a function from $B_n(l)$ to Laurent multinomial ring $Z[t,t^{-l}]:g \to det(\Phi(g)-l)$, wherein $g \in B_n(l), \Phi(g)$ is the Burau representation of g, l is unity matrix, det(l) is the determinant of the matrix, it is obvious that the function is the character of $B_n(l)$. $det(\Phi(g)-l)$ is called Alexander multinomial of braid g, called $P_g(t)$. Obviously, for a $g \in B_n(l)$, the degree of its Alexander multinomial $P_g(t)$: $\partial (P_g(t)) \le l(n-l)n/2$. Judging whether the two braids $a,b \in B_n(l)$ are conjugate, and perform the following Alexander test: determine system parameter prime number p and positive integer r, select r different value $t_1, t_2 \dots t_r$ on the finite field Z/pZ freely, if for all the $t_i(i=1,2\dots r)$, there

is always $P_a(t_i) = P_b(t_i)$, then output 1, otherwise output 0.B ecause $\partial (P_a(t) - P_b(t)) \le l(n-1)n/2$, the equation $P_a(t) - P_b(t) = 0$ has only l(n-1)n/2 roots. So the probability $Pr[P_a(t) \ne P_b(t)]$ the output of Alexander test is $l] \le \left(\frac{l(n-1)n}{2p}\right)^r$, and obviously, with the increase of p and r, this probability can be decreased freely. The complexity of Alexander test calculation is $O(rn^3)$.

Maxinf-Minsup test. For $x \in B_n(l)$ of braid, define $Maxinf(x) = Max\{inf(y) | y \sim x, y \in B_n(l)\}$, $Minsup(x) = Min\{sup(y) | y \sim x, y \in B_n(l)\}$. The called Maxinf-Minsup test is, for braid $a,b \in B_n(l)$, judgeing whether Maxinf(a) = Maxinf(b), Minsup(a) = Minsup(b) is yes, if yes, then output 1, if no, then output 0. Next, the arithmetic for calculating Maxinf(x) and Minsup(x) is described. Firstly, define two operations, if $x = \Delta^u \pi_1 \pi_2 ... \pi_1$, $cycling(x) = (\tau^u(\pi_l))^{-l}x\tau^u(\pi_l)$, $decycling(x) = \pi_l^{-l}x\pi_l$. Perform cycling(decycling) operation for x circularly, until the value of inf begins to increase (sup value begins to reduce), then consider the currently obtained braid as new braid, repeat the circular operation, and the count of circular times is reset to 1; if the circular times are counted until m=n(n-1)/2, the inf value does not increase any more(sup value does not reduce any more), then the inf value of current braid is Maxinf(x)(Minsup(x)). As for the theory analysis of arithmetic, please refer to the following quotations: J. S. B irman, K. H. K o and S. J. Lee, The in.mum, supremum and $geodesic length of a braid conjugacy class, to appear in Advances in Mathematics. The arithmetic complexity of the arithmetic is <math>O(l^2 n log n)$.

If braids a, b pass the Alexander test and Maxinf-Minsup test, then determine that $a \sim b$ is right, with one exception of $a \sim b^{-1}$. However, for a and b selected randomly, this exception is nearly impossible, and for hacker, it is also impossible to use such excluded situation, as for its analysis, p lease refer to the following quotations: K. H.K o, S. J. Lee, J. H. Cheon, J. W. Han, J. S.K ang and C. S. Park, N ew Public-Key Crytosystem Using Braid Groups, Proc. o f Crypto 2000, LNCS 1880, S pringer-Verlag (2000) 166–183.

For a legal signature sign(M), because $sign(M) = a^{-1}byb^{-1}a = (b^{-1}a)^{-1}yb^{-1}a$, $sign(M) \sim y$ is

right; while for $x sign(M) = a^{-1}xa \ a^{-1}byb^{-1}a = a^{-1}xbyb^{-1}a$, because $x \in LB_m$, $b \in RB_{n-1-m}$, xb = bx, therefore $x^{-1}sign(M) = a^{-1}xa \ a^{-1}byb^{-1}a = a^{-1}xbyb^{-1}a = a^{-1}bx \ yb^{-1}a = (b^{-1}a)^{-1}(x \ y)(b^{-1}a)$, and $x^{-1}sign(M) = xy$, therefore, a legal signature can always pass the verification at last.

The present invention also provides a digital signature scheme including signatory and verifying party signatures and a verification thereof, see Fig.6. As the digital signature scheme and its verifying method of the present based on braid conjugacy problem, the signatory uses hash function h for message M needing signature, obtaining $y=h(M) \in B_n(l)$, and generating key, generating $b \in RB_{n-l-m}(l)$ randomly, the signatory transmits the message M and signature of M SignM to the verifying party after obtaining SignM = a^{-l} by b^{-l} a by signing message M with its own private a and the generated braid b, the verifying party obtains y=h(M) and the public key verification signature message M by calculating message M by hash function h, the detailed process is as follows:

- Step 1. the signature S selecting three braids $x \in LBm(l)$, $x' \in B_n(l)$, $a \in B_n(l)$, making them meeting $x'=a^{-l}xa$. and with the known x and x', it is impossible to find a on calculation, and considering braid pair (x',x) as public key of S, and braid a as private key of S;
- Step 2. signatory S obtaining $y=h(M) \in B_n(l)$ by using hash function h for message M needing signature;
- Step 3. generating a braid $b \in RB_{n-1-m}(l)$ randomly, then obtaining $Sign(M) = a^{-l}byb^{-l}a$ by signing the message M with its own private key a and the generated random braid b;
- Step 4. the signatory S transmitting message M and its signature Sign(M) to the signature verifying party V;
- Step 5. the signature verifying party V obtaining the public key of S after receiving the message M and its signature Sign(M) transmitted by signatory S;
- Step 6. calculating message M by using system parameter hash function h, obtaining y=h(M);
 - Step 7. judging whether sign(M) and y are conjugate, if not, sign(M) is not a legal

signature, the verification is failed; if yes, p erforming step 8; and

Step 8. calculating sign(M) x' and xy by using the obtained public key of S,a nd judging whether they are conjugate, if not, sign(M) is not a legal signature, the verification is failed; if yes, sign(M) is the legal signature of message M;

Because the braid group is infinite group, in order to realize by computer, system parameter has to be set. First set system parameters n, l, d (preferred l=3,d=4). Make $B_n(l) = \{b \in B_n \mid 0 \le inf(b), sup(b) \le l\}$, then $|B_n(l)| < (n!)^l$ is finite. For the same reason, $LB_m(l) = \{b \in LB_m \mid 0 \le inf(b), sup(b) \le l\}$, $RB_{n-l-m}(l) = \{b \in RB_{n-l-m} \mid 0 \le inf(b), sup(b) \le l\}$. For a braid, it is denoted by Burau representation which currently is acknowledged to have the fastest calculation speed on computer, that is, d enoted by a $(n-l) \times (n-l)$ order matrix on the Laurent multinomial ring $Z[t,t^{-l}]$, the specified permutation rule is as follows:

Perform the following permutation:

$$\sigma_{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & O & & \\ & & O & \\ & & 1 & t \\ & & & -t \end{bmatrix}$$
 the calculation complexity for a braid belonging to $B_n(l)$

transforming to a Burau representation is O(ln). With the above representation, the group operation and converse operation are transformed to the multiplication of matrix and converse operation, all of which can be solved by efficient mathematics tool, their calculation complexity is O(ln).

The method of the present invention can be realized by software. In order to improve speed, the arithmetic *BCDA* can also be realized by hardware, in which, the determined

system parameter discloses: braid group parameters n, l, d, p (preferred n between $20 \sim 30$, l=3, d=4, p between $2^{31} \sim 2^{32}$), and the size of the left braid group m (preferred n-m is about 4); determining the hash function h used in hash message; the process of signatory S is as following:

Key generation:

- 1. generating a braid $x \in LB_m$ by using arithmetic PBG at random;
- 2. obtaining public key (x, x) and private a by using arithmetic RSSBG(x, d).

The signature process:

- 1. applying hash function h to message M needing signature, obtaining y=h(M);
- 2. generating a braid b randomly, then calculating byb^{-1} ; and
- 3. calculating $sign(M) = a^{-1}byb^{-1}a$ by using private key.

The process of verifying party V:

- 1. applying hash function h to the message M needing its signature verified, obtaining y=h(M);
- 2. judging whether $sign(M) \sim y$ is right by using arithmetic BCDA, if not, the verification is failed, ending; if yes, performing step 3; and
- 3. calculating x 'sign(M) and xy; judging whether $x \text{ 'sign}(M) \sim xy$ is right by using arithmetic BCDA, if yes, the verification is passed, ending, otherwise, the verification is failed, ending.

At last, it should be noted that the above embodiment is only to illustrate the technical scheme of the present invention without any limitation. Although the present invention is described in detail with reference to the preferred embodiment, the ordinary skilled person in the art should understand that the scheme of the present invention can be modified or substituted without departing from the spirit and scope of the technical scheme of the present invention, all of which should be covered in the following claims.